

CAROLYN PARISH, )  
)  
Plaintiff, )  
)  
v. ) No. 4:11-CV-1332 CAS  
)  
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, )  
Commissioner of Social Security, )  
)  
Defendant. )

This matter is before the Court on plaintiff’s application for an award of attorney’s fees pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act (“EAJA”), 28 U.S.C. § 2412 (d)(1)(B). The Commissioner does not object to the request for attorney’s fees.

On August 1, 2011, plaintiff Carolyn Parish filed the above-captioned cause of action challenging the denial of his application for supplemental security income benefits under Title XVI of the Social Security Act 42 U.S.C. §§ 1381 et seq. On September 27, 2012, in an order adopting the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Nannette A. Baker, the Court reversed the decision of the administrative law judge (“ALJ”) and remanded the action for further proceedings pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). Following the order of remand, plaintiff’s counsel filed the present application for attorney’s fees.

Plaintiff seeks attorney's fees for 8.5 hours of work done by attorney Jeffrey J. Bunten at the rate of \$179.21 per hour. The total amount of fees sought by plaintiff is One Thousand Five

Hundred Twenty-Three Dollars and Twenty-Nine Cents (\$1,523.29). Defendant responded to plaintiff's motion and did not oppose plaintiff's request.

### ***Discussion***

The Court finds the award of fees is proper in this case. Section 2412 of the Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA) provides that a court:

shall award to a prevailing party . . . fees . . . incurred by that party in any civil action (other than cases sounding in tort), including proceedings for judicial review of agency action, brought by or against the United States in any court having jurisdiction of that action, unless the court finds that the position of the United States was substantially justified or that special circumstances make an award unjust.

28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A). Accordingly, plaintiff's attorney is entitled to fees if (i) plaintiff was the prevailing party, (ii) the fees were "incurred by that party in [the] civil action" in which the party prevailed, and (iii) the defendant's position was not substantially justified. *Id.* Plaintiff has met all of these requirements in the instant matter. *Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292, 302 (1993) ("a party who wins a sentence-four remand order is a prevailing party.") The Court, therefore, will award plaintiff the amount of fees agreed upon by the parties, One Thousand Five Hundred Twenty-Three Dollars and Twenty-Nine Cents (\$1,523.29).

Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that plaintiff's motion for attorney's fees is **GRANTED**.

[Doc. 20]

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that plaintiff is awarded attorney's fees in the amount of One Thousand Five Hundred Twenty-Three Dollars and Twenty-Nine Cents (\$1,523.29). The award shall be made payable to Carolyn Parish. See Astrue v. Ratliff, 130 S. Ct. 2521 2529 (2010).

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Charles A. Shaw". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

---

**CHARLES A. SHAW**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

Dated this 18th day of July, 2013.